Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR KOUSHIK PAUL Designation: ASST PROFESSOR

Department: CIVIL & ENV. ENGG Programme: B.E. CIVIL

Semester: 4th Session: SP 2019

Course Code: CE4005 Course Title: TRANSPORTATION ENGG-I

Number of times taught: 4

Mobile: 9470520271 E-mail: koushikp77@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes,please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Koushik Paul

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. KOUSHIK PAUL Designation: Asst. Professor

Department: CIVIL & ENV. ENGG/ARCH Programme: B. ARCH

Semester: 4th Session: SP 2019

Course Code: AR4308 Course Title: SURVEYING (SESSIONAL)

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9470520271 E-mail: koushik.p77@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes,please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Koushik Paul

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. KOUSHIK PAUL Designation: ASST. PROFESSOR

Department: CIVIL & ENV. ENGG. Programme: M. TECH. ESE

Semester: 2ND Session: SP2019

Course Code: CE536 Course Title: DESIGN OF WATER & WASTEWATER SYSTEM

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9470520271 E-mail: koushik_p77@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes,please mention the module and suggestions

YES

Time was short. Maybe we can bifurcate it in two separate semesters.

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. KOUSHIK PAUL Designation: Asst. Professor

Department: CIVIL & ENV. ENGG. Programme: M. TECH ESE

Semester: 2ND Session: SP2019

Course Code: CE534 Course Title: SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9470520271 E-mail: koushik.p77@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes,please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Koushik Paul

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.